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1. An Optimizing IS-LM-PC Specification
   1.1 Overview

Here, the models of Ireland (1997) and McCallum and Nelson (1999) are modified to focus on the role of money in the monetary business cycle. The economy consists of a representative household, a representative finished goods-producing firm, a continuum of intermediate goods-producing firms indexed by \( i \in [0, 1] \), and a monetary authority. During each period \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), each intermediate goods-producing firm produces a distinct, perishable intermediate good. Hence, intermediate goods may also be indexed by \( i \in [0, 1] \), where firm \( i \) produces good \( i \). The model features enough symmetry, however, to allow the analysis to focus on the behavior of a representative intermediate goods-producing firm, identified by the generic index \( i \).
1.2 The Representative Household

The representative household enters period $t$ with money $M_{t-1}$ and bonds $B_{t-1}$. At the beginning of the period, the household receives a lump-sum nominal transfer $T_t$ from the monetary authority. Next, the household’s bonds mature, providing $B_{t-1}$ additional units of money. The household uses some of this money to purchase $B_t$ new bonds at nominal cost $B_t/r_t$, where $r_t$ denotes the gross nominal interest rate between $t$ and $t + 1$.

The household supplies $h_t(i)$ units of labor to each intermediate goods-producing firm $i \in [0, 1]$, for a total of

$$h_t = \int_0^1 h_t(i)\,di$$
during period $t$. The household is paid at the nominal wage rate $W_t$. The household consumes $c_t$ units of the finished good, purchased at the nominal price $P_t$ from the representative finished goods-producing firm.

At the end of period $t$, the household receives nominal profits $D_t(i)$ from each intermediate goods-producing firm $i \in [0, 1]$, for a total of

$$D_t = \int_0^1 D_t(i) \, di.$$  

The household then carries $M_t$ units of money into period $t + 1$, subject to the budget constraint

$$\frac{M_{t-1} + T_t + B_{t-1} + W_t h_t + D_t}{P_t} \geq c_t + \frac{B_t/r_t + M_t}{P_t}. \tag{1}$$
The household’s preferences are described by the expected utility function

\[ E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t a_t \{ u[c_t, (M_t/P_t)/e_t] - \eta h_t \}, \]

where \(1 > \beta > 0\) and \(\eta > 0\). The preference shocks \(a_t\) and \(e_t\) follow the autoregressive process

\[
\ln(a_t) = \rho_a \ln(a_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{at}
\]

and

\[
\ln(e_t) = (1 - \rho_e) \ln(e) + \rho_e \ln(e_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{et},
\]

where \(1 > \rho_a > -1\), \(1 > \rho_e > -1\), \(e > 0\), and the zero-mean, serially uncorrelated innovations \(\varepsilon_{at}\) and \(\varepsilon_{et}\) are normally distributed with standard deviations \(\sigma_a\) and \(\sigma_e\).
Thus, the household chooses \( c_t, h_t, B_t, \) and \( M_t \) for all \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), to maximize its utility subject to the budget constraint (1) for all \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Letting \( m_t = M_t/P_t \) denote real balances, \( \pi_t = P_t/P_{t-1} \) the inflation rate, \( w_t = W_t/P_t \) the real wage rate, and \( \lambda_t \) the nonnegative multiplier on (1), the first-order conditions for this problem are

\[
a_t u_1(c_t, m_t/e_t) = \lambda_t, \tag{4}
\]
\[
\eta a_t = \lambda_t w_t, \tag{5}
\]
\[
\lambda_t = \beta r_t E_t(\lambda_{t+1}/\pi_{t+1}), \tag{6}
\]
\[
(a_t/e_t)u_2(c_t, m_t/e_t) = \lambda_t - \beta E_t(\lambda_{t+1}/\pi_{t+1}), \tag{7}
\]

and (1) with equality for all \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).
1.3 The Representative Finished Goods-Producing Firm

During each period $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, the representative finished goods-producing firm uses $y_t(i)$ units of each intermediate good $i \in [0, 1]$, purchased at nominal price $P_t(i)$, to manufacture $y_t$ units of the finished good according to the constant-returns-to-scale technology described by

$$\left[ \int_0^1 y_t(i)^{(\theta-1)/\theta} \, di \right]^{\theta/(\theta-1)} \geq y_t,$$

where $\theta > 1$. Thus, the finished goods-producing firm chooses $y_t(i)$ for all $i \in [0, 1]$ to maximize its profits, given by

$$P_t \left[ \int_0^1 y_t(i)^{(\theta-1)/\theta} \, di \right]^{\theta/(\theta-1)} - \int_0^1 P_t(i)y_t(i) \, di,$$

for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The first-order conditions for this problem are
\[ y_t(i) = \left( \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^\theta y_t \]

for all \( i \in [0, 1] \) and \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Competition drives the finished goods-producing firm’s profits to zero in equilibrium. This zero-profit condition implies that

\[ P_t = \left( \int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\theta} \, di \right)^{1/(1-\theta)} \]

for all \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).
1.4 The Representative Intermediate Goods-Producing Firm

During each period $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, the representative intermediate goods-producing firm hires $h_t(i)$ units of labor from the representative household to manufacture $y_t(i)$ units of intermediate good $i$ according to the constant-returns-to-scale technology described by

$$z_t h_t(i) \geq y_t(i).$$

The aggregate technology shock $z_t$ follows the autoregressive process

$$\ln(z_t) = (1 - \rho_z) \ln(z) + \rho_z \ln(z_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{zt},$$

where $1 > \rho_z > -1$ and $z > 0$. The zero-mean, serially uncorrelated innovation $\varepsilon_{zt}$ is normally distributed with standard deviation $\sigma_z$. 
Since the intermediate goods substitute imperfectly for one another in producing the finished good, the representative intermediate goods-producing firm sells its output in a monopolistically competitive market; during each period \( t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), the intermediate goods-producing firm sets the nominal price \( P_t(i) \) for its output, subject to the requirement that it satisfy the representative finished goods-producing firm's demand. In addition, the intermediate goods-producing firm faces a quadratic cost of adjusting its nominal price, measured in terms of the finished good and given by

\[
\frac{\phi}{2} \left( \frac{P_t(i)}{\pi P_{t-1}(i)} - 1 \right)^2 y_t,
\]

where \( \phi > 0 \) and where \( \pi \) denotes the steady-state inflation rate.
The cost of price adjustment makes the intermediate goods-producing firm’s problem dynamic; it chooses $P_t(i)$ for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ to maximize its total market value, given by

$$E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \lambda_t[D_t(i)/P_t],$$

where $\beta^t \lambda_t/P_t$ measures the marginal utility value to the representative household of an additional dollar in profits received during period $t$ and where

$$\frac{D_t(i)}{P_t} = \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right]^{1-\theta} y_t - \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right]^{-\theta} \left( \frac{w_t y_t}{z_t} \right) - \frac{\phi}{2} \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{\pi P_{t-1}(i)} - 1 \right]^2 y_t$$

(10)
for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The first-order conditions for this problem are

\[ 0 = (1 - \theta) \lambda_t \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right]^{-\theta} \left( \frac{y_t}{P_t} \right) + \theta \lambda_t \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right]^{-\theta - 1} \left( \frac{y_t w_t}{z_t P_t} \right) \]

\[ -\phi \lambda_t \left[ \frac{P_t(i)}{\pi P_{t-1}(i)} - 1 \right] \left[ \frac{y_t}{\pi P_{t-1}(i)} \right] \]

\[ + \beta \phi E_t \left\{ \lambda_{t+1} \left[ \frac{P_{t+1}(i)}{\pi P_t(i)} - 1 \right] \left[ \frac{y_{t+1} P_{t+1}(i)}{\pi P_t(i)^2} \right] \right\} \]

for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. 
1.5 The Monetary Authority

The monetary authority conducts monetary policy by adjusting the nominal interest rate \( r_t \) in response to deviations of output \( y_t \), inflation \( \pi_t \), and money growth 

\[
\mu_t = \frac{M_t}{M_{t-1}} \tag{12}
\]

from their steady-state values \( y, \pi, \) and \( \mu \) according to the policy rule

\[
\ln\left(\frac{r_t}{r}\right) = \rho_r \ln\left(\frac{r_{t-1}}{r}\right) + \rho_y \ln\left(\frac{y_{t-1}}{y}\right) + \rho_{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\pi_{t-1}}{\pi}\right) + \rho_{\mu} \ln\left(\frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\mu}\right) + \varepsilon_{rt}, \tag{13}
\]

where \( r \) is the steady-state value of \( r_t \) and where the zero-mean, serially uncorrelated innovation \( \varepsilon_{rt} \) is normally distributed with standard deviation \( \sigma_r \).
1.6 Symmetric Equilibrium

In a symmetric equilibrium, all intermediate goods-producing firms make identical decisions, so that $y_t(i) = y_t$, $h_t(i) = h_t$, $P_t(i) = P_t$, and $d_t(i) = D_t(i)/P_t = D_t/P_t = d_t$ for all $i \in [0,1]$ and $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. In addition, the market-clearing conditions $M_t = M_{t-1} + T_t$ and $B_t = B_{t-1} = 0$ must hold for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

After imposing these conditions (1)-(13) become

\begin{align*}
y_t &= c_t + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} - 1 \right)^2 y_t, \quad (1) \\
\ln(a_t) &= \rho_a \ln(a_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{at}, \quad (2) \\
\ln(e_t) &= (1 - \rho_e) \ln(e) + \rho_e \ln(e_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{et}, \quad (3) \\
a_t u_1(c_t, m_t/e_t) &= \lambda_t, \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\[ \eta a_t = \lambda_t w_t, \quad (5) \]
\[ \lambda_t = \beta r_t E_t (\lambda_{t+1}/\pi_{t+1}), \quad (6) \]
\[ (a_t/e_t) u_2 (c_t, m_t/e_t) = \lambda_t - \beta E_t (\lambda_{t+1}/\pi_{t+1}), \quad (7) \]
\[ y_t = z_t h_t, \quad (8) \]
\[ \ln(z_t) = (1 - \rho_z) \ln(z) + \rho_z \ln(z_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{zt}, \quad (9) \]
\[ d_t = y_t - w_t h_t - \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} - 1 \right)^2 y_t, \quad (10) \]

\[ 0 = (1 - \theta) \lambda_t + \theta \lambda_t \left( \frac{w_t}{z_t} \right) - \phi \lambda_t \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} \right) \]
\[ + \beta \phi E_t \left[ \lambda_{t+1} \left( \frac{\pi_{t+1}}{\pi} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{y_{t+1}}{y_t} \right) \left( \frac{\pi_{t+1}}{\pi} \right) \right], \quad (11) \]
\[ m_{t-1} \mu_t = m_t \pi_t, \quad (12) \]
and
\[
\ln(\frac{r_t}{r}) = \rho_r \ln(\frac{r_{t-1}}{r}) + \rho_y \ln(\frac{y_{t-1}}{y}) + \rho_\pi \ln(\frac{\pi_{t-1}}{\pi}) + \rho_\mu \ln(\frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\mu}) + \varepsilon_{rt}. \tag{13}
\]

These 13 equations determine equilibrium values for the 13 variables \(y_t, \pi_t, m_t, r_t, c_t, h_t, w_t, d_t, \lambda_t, \mu_t, a_t, e_t,\) and \(z_t.\)

Use (4), (5), (8), and (10) to eliminate \(\lambda_t, w_t, h_t,\) and \(d_t.\) Then the system can be written more compactly as
\[ y_t = c_t + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} - 1 \right)^2 y_t, \]  

\[ \ln(a_t) = \rho_a \ln(a_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{at}, \]  

\[ \ln(e_t) = (1 - \rho_e) \ln(e) + \rho_e \ln(e_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{et}, \]  

\[ a_t u_1(c_t, m_t/e_t) = \beta r_t E_t \left[ a_{t+1} u_1(c_{t+1}, m_{t+1}/e_{t+1}) / \pi_{t+1} \right], \]  

\[ r_t u_2(c_t, m_t/e_t) = (r_t - 1)e_t u_1(c_t, m_t/e_t), \]  

\[ \ln(z_t) = (1 - \rho_z) \ln(z) + \rho_z \ln(z_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{zt}, \]  

\[ \theta - 1 = \theta \left[ \frac{\eta}{z_t u_1(c_t, m_t/e_t)} \right] - \phi \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\pi_t}{\pi} \right) \]  

\[ + \beta \phi E_t \left\{ \left[ \frac{a_{t+1} u_1(c_{t+1}, m_{t+1}/e_{t+1})}{a_t u_1(c_t, m_t/e_t)} \right] \left( \frac{\pi_{t+1}}{\pi} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{y_{t+1}}{y_t} \right) \left( \frac{\pi_{t+1}}{\pi} \right) \right\}, \]  

\[ m_{t-1} \mu_t = m_t \pi_t, \]  

and

\[ \ln(r_t/r) = \rho_r \ln(r_{t-1}/r) + \rho_y \ln(y_{t-1}/y) + \rho_\pi \ln(\pi_{t-1}/\pi) + \rho_\mu \ln(\mu_{t-1}/\mu) + \varepsilon_{rt}. \]
These 9 equations determine equilibrium values for the 9 variables $y_l$, $\pi_l$, $m_l$, $r_l$, $c_l$, $\mu_l$, $a_l$, $e_l$, and $z_l$. 
1.7 The Steady State

In the absence of shocks, the economy converges to a steady state, in which \( y_t = y, \pi_t = \pi, m_t = m, r_t = r, c_t = c, \mu_t = \mu, a_t = a, e_t = e, \) and \( z_t = z. \) The steady-state values \( a, e, \) and \( z \) are determined by (2), (3), and (9). The steady-state value \( \pi \) is determined by (13).

The steady-state value \( r \) is determined by (6) as

\[
r = \pi / \beta.
\]

The steady-state value \( \mu \) is determined by (12) as

\[
\mu = \pi.
\]

The steady-state value \( c \) is determined by (1) as

\[
c = y.
\]
The steady-state values $y$ and $m$ are determined by (7) and (11):

$$ru_2(y, m/e) = (r - 1)e u_1(y, m/e)$$

and

$$u_1(y, m/e) = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta - 1}\right) \left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right).$$
The system consisting of (1)-(3), (6), (7), (9), and (11)-(13) can be log-linearized around the steady state in order to describe how the economy responds to shocks. Let \( \hat{y}_t = \ln(y_t/y) \), \( \hat{\pi}_t = \ln(\pi_t/\pi) \), \( \hat{m}_t = \ln(m_t/m) \), \( \hat{r}_t = \ln(r_t/r) \), \( \hat{c}_t = \ln(c_t/c) \), \( \hat{\mu}_t = \ln(\mu_t/\mu) \), \( \hat{a}_t = \ln(a_t/a) \), \( \hat{e}_t = \ln(e_t/e) \), and \( \hat{z}_t = \ln(z_t/z) \). The first-order Taylor approximations yield

\[
\hat{y}_t = \hat{c}_t, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\hat{a}_t = \rho_a \hat{a}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{at}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\hat{e}_t = \rho_e \hat{e}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{et}, \quad (3)
\]

\[
\hat{y}_t = E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} - \omega_1 (\hat{r}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1}) + \omega_2 (\hat{m}_t - E_t \hat{m}_{t+1}) - \omega_2 (\hat{e}_t - E_t \hat{e}_{t+1}) + \omega_1 (\hat{a}_t - E_t \hat{a}_{t+1}), \quad (4)
\]
\[ \hat{m}_t = \gamma_1 \hat{y}_t - \gamma_2 \hat{r}_t + \gamma_3 \hat{e}_t, \]  
(7)

\[ \hat{z}_t = \rho_z \hat{z}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{zt}, \]  
(9)

\[ \hat{\pi}_t = \left( \frac{\pi}{r} \right) E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \psi \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\omega_1} \right) \hat{y}_t - \left( \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right) \hat{m}_t + \left( \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right) \hat{e}_t - \hat{z}_t \right], \]  
(11)

\[ \hat{m}_{t-1} + \hat{\mu}_t = \hat{m}_t + \hat{\pi}_t, \]  
(12)

and

\[ \hat{r}_t = \rho_r \hat{r}_{t-1} + \rho_y \hat{y}_{t-1} + \rho_{\pi} \hat{\pi}_{t-1} + \rho_{\mu} \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{rt}, \]  
(13)
where

\[ \omega_1 = -\frac{u_1(y, m/e)}{yu_{11}(y, m/e)}, \]
\[ \omega_2 = -\frac{(m/e)u_{12}(y, m/e)}{yu_{11}(y, m/e)}, \]
\[ \gamma_1 = \left( \frac{yr\omega_2}{m\omega_1} + \frac{r - 1}{\omega_1} \right) \gamma_2, \]
\[ \gamma_2 = \frac{r}{(r - 1)(m/e)} \left[ \frac{u_2(y, m/e)}{(r - 1)e u_{12}(y, m/e) - ru_{22}(y, m/e)} \right], \]
\[ \gamma_3 = 1 - (r - 1)\gamma_2, \]

and

\[ \psi = \frac{\theta - 1}{\phi}. \]
Equation (6) is the IS curve, equation (7) is the LM curve, equation (11) is the Phillips curve, and equation (13) is the policy rule. Use (1) to eliminate $c_t$, and rewrite the system as

$$\hat{a}_t = \rho_a \hat{a}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{at},$$

(2)

$$\hat{e}_t = \rho_e \hat{e}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{et},$$

(3)

$$\hat{y}_t = E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} - \omega_1 (\hat{r}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1}) + \omega_2 (\hat{m}_t - E_t \hat{m}_{t+1}) - \omega_2 (1 - \rho_e) \hat{e}_t + \omega_1 (1 - \rho_a) \hat{a}_t,$$

(6)

$$\hat{m}_t = \gamma_1 \hat{y}_t - \gamma_2 \hat{r}_t + \gamma_3 \hat{e}_t,$$

(7)

$$\hat{z}_t = \rho_z \hat{z}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{zt},$$

(9)

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \left( \frac{\pi}{r} \right) E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \psi \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\omega_1} \right) \hat{y}_t - \left( \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right) \hat{m}_t + \left( \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \right) \hat{e}_t - \hat{z}_t \right],$$

(11)
\[ \hat{m}_{t-1} + \hat{\mu}_t = \hat{m}_t + \hat{\pi}_t, \]  

and

\[ \hat{r}_t = \rho_r \hat{r}_{t-1} + \rho_y \hat{y}_{t-1} + \rho_\pi \hat{\pi}_{t-1} + \rho_\mu \hat{\mu}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{rt}. \]
A simplified version of the Ireland’s (2004) model:

\[ U \left( c_t, \frac{M_{t+1}}{P_t} \frac{1}{e_t} \right) = \left[ \frac{c_t \left( m_{t+1} / e_t \right)^\epsilon}{1 - \sigma} \right]^{1-\sigma} - 1 \]

Under this utility function the structural parameters are:

\( \omega_1 = 1 / \sigma; \ \omega_2 = \theta \frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}; \ \gamma_1 = 1; \ \gamma_2 = 1/(r-1); \ \gamma_3 = 0; \)

Let the following equation be a simple Taylor rule:

\[ \hat{r}_t = \rho_y \hat{y}_t + \rho_{\pi} \hat{\pi}_t + \epsilon_{rt} \]

If \( \sigma = 1 \), then,
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 + \rho_y & \rho_\pi \\
\theta - 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{y}_t \\
\hat{\pi}_t
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
0 & \beta
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} \\
E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1}
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 1 - \rho_a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \theta - 1 / \phi
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{rt} \\
\hat{e}_t \\
\hat{\alpha}_t \\
\hat{z}_t
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where we have assumed that the steady state of the structural shocks is zero.
The solution for the system of equations described above is:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{y}_t \\
\hat{\pi}_t
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\Phi_1 & \Phi_2 & \Phi_3 \\
\Psi_1 & \Psi_2 & \Psi_3
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{z}_t \\
\hat{a}_t \\
\epsilon_{rt}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\Phi_1 = \frac{C_{21} + \left(1 - A_{22}\rho_z\right)C_{11}}{(1 - A_{22}\rho_z)(1 - A_{11}\rho_z) - A_{21}\rho_z} ; \quad \Psi_1 = \frac{1 - A_{11}\rho_z}{A_{12}\rho_z} \Phi_1 - \frac{C_{11}}{A_{12}\rho_z}
\]

\[
\Phi_2 = \frac{C_{22} + \left(1 - A_{22}\rho_a\right)C_{12}}{(1 - A_{22}\rho_a)(1 - A_{11}\rho_a) - A_{21}\rho_a} ; \quad \Psi_2 = \frac{1 - A_{11}\rho_a}{A_{12}\rho_a} \Phi_2 - \frac{C_{12}}{A_{12}\rho_a}
\]

\[
\Phi_3 = \frac{C_{23} + \left(1 - A_{22}\rho_{\epsilon_r}\right)C_{13}}{(1 - A_{22}\rho_{\epsilon_r})(1 - A_{11}\rho_{\epsilon_r}) - A_{21}\rho_{\epsilon_r}} ; \quad \Psi_3 = \frac{1 - A_{11}\rho_{\epsilon_r}}{A_{12}\rho_{\epsilon_r}} \Phi_3 - \frac{C_{13}}{A_{12}\rho_{\epsilon_r}}
\]
where $A_{ij}$ and $C_{ik}$ are the elements of the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \rho_y & \rho_\pi \\ \theta - 1 & \phi \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \rho_y & \rho_\pi \\ \theta - 1 & \phi \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 - \rho_a & -1 \\ -\theta - 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
Impulse-response functions:

Given the following stochastic processes for structural shocks:

\[ \hat{z}_t = \rho_z \hat{z}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{zt} \]
\[ \hat{a}_t = \rho_z \hat{a}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{at} \]
\[ \varepsilon_{r,t} = \rho_{\varepsilon_r} \varepsilon_{r,t-1} + u_t \]

it is easy to derive the impulse-response functions, using the following expressions:

\[ \hat{y}_t = \Phi_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_z^j \varepsilon_{z,t-j} + \Phi_2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_a^j \varepsilon_{a,t-j} + \Phi_3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_{\varepsilon_r}^j u_{t-j} \]
\[ \hat{\pi}_t = \Psi_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_z^j \varepsilon_{z,t-j} + \Psi_2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_a^j \varepsilon_{a,t-j} + \Psi_3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_{\varepsilon_r}^j u_{t-j} \]
Variance decomposition of forecast errors:

Given the following expressions:

\[ \hat{y}_t = \Phi_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_z^j \varepsilon_{z,t-j} + \Phi_2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_a^j \varepsilon_{a,t-j} + \Phi_3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_r^j u_{t-j} \]

\[ \hat{\pi}_t = \Psi_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_z^j \varepsilon_{z,t-j} + \Psi_2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_a^j \varepsilon_{a,t-j} + \Psi_3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_r^j u_{t-j} \]

it can be obtained, for \( n > 0 \):
\[\hat{y}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{y}_{t+n} = \Phi_1 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho_z^j \varepsilon_{z,t+n-j} + \Phi_2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho_a^j \varepsilon_{a,t+n-j} + \Phi_3 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho_r^j u_{t+n-j}\]

\[\hat{\pi}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+n} = \Psi_1 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho_z^j \varepsilon_{z,t+n-j} + \Psi_2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho_a^j \varepsilon_{a,t+n-j} + \Psi_3 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho_r^j u_{t+n-j}\]

\[Var(\hat{y}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{y}_{t+n}) = \frac{\Phi_1^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_z}^2 (1 - \rho_z^{2n})}{1 - \rho_z^2} + \frac{\Phi_2^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_a}^2 (1 - \rho_a^{2n})}{1 - \rho_a^2} + \frac{\Phi_3^2 \sigma_u^2 (1 - \rho_r^{2n})}{1 - \rho_r^2}\]

\[Var(\hat{\pi}_{t+1} - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+n}) = \frac{\Psi_1^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_z}^2 (1 - \rho_z^{2n})}{1 - \rho_z^2} + \frac{\Psi_2^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_a}^2 (1 - \rho_a^{2n})}{1 - \rho_a^2} + \frac{\Psi_3^2 \sigma_u^2 (1 - \rho_r^{2n})}{1 - \rho_r^2}\]

Note that: \(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho^2 = \frac{(1 - \rho^{2n})}{1 - \rho^2}\)
Therefore the variance decomposition of forecast errors for each variable will be:

\[ D.V.E.P. (\hat{y}_{t+n}) = 100 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Phi_1^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_z}^2 (1 - \rho_{z}^{2n})}{1 - \rho_{z}^2} \frac{\Phi_2^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_a}^2 (1 - \rho_{a}^{2n})}{1 - \rho_{a}^2} \frac{\Phi_3^2 \sigma_u^2 (1 - \rho_{e_r}^{2n})}{1 - \rho_{e_r}^2} \\
Var(\hat{y}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{y}_{t+n})' Var(\hat{y}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{y}_{t+n})' Var(\hat{y}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{y}_{t+n})
\end{array} \right\}, \]

\[ D.V.E.P. (\hat{\pi}_{t+n}) = 100 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Psi_1^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_z}^2 (1 - \rho_{z}^{2n})}{1 - \rho_{z}^2} \frac{\psi_2^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_a}^2 (1 - \rho_{a}^{2n})}{1 - \rho_{a}^2} \frac{\Psi_3^2 \sigma_u^2 (1 - \rho_{e_r}^{2n})}{1 - \rho_{e_r}^2} \\
Var(\hat{\pi}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+n})' Var(\hat{\pi}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+n})' Var(\hat{\pi}_{t+n} - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+n})
\end{array} \right\}. \]
2. Solving the Ireland’s (2004) model

Let

\[ f_t^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{m}_t & \hat{r}_t & \hat{\mu}_t \end{bmatrix}', \]

\[ s_t^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} & \hat{m}_{t-1} & \hat{\pi}_{t-1} & \hat{r}_{t-1} & \hat{\mu}_{t-1} & \hat{y}_t & \hat{\pi}_t \end{bmatrix}', \]

and

\[ v_t = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_t & \hat{\epsilon}_t & \hat{z}_t & \varepsilon_{rt} \end{bmatrix}'. \]

Then (7), (12), and (13) can be written as

\[ Af_t^0 = Bs_t^0 + Cv_t, \quad (14) \]

where \( A \) is \( 3 \times 3 \), \( B \) is \( 3 \times 7 \), and \( C \) is \( 3 \times 4 \).
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \gamma_2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}; 
B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
\rho_y & 0 & \rho_\pi & \rho_r & \rho_\mu & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix};
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \gamma_3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Equations (6) and (11) can be written as

\[ DE_t s^{i+1}_t + FE_t f^{i+1}_t = Gs^i_t + Hf^i_t + Jv_t, \]  

(15)

where \( D \) and \( G \) are \( 7 \times 7 \), \( F \) and \( H \) are \( 7 \times 3 \), and \( J \) is \( 7 \times 4 \).
\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \omega_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi/r \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
-\omega_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\psi/\omega_1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
-\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \\
\psi(\omega_2/\omega_1) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-\omega_1(1-\rho_a) & \omega_2(1-\rho_e) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\psi(\omega_2/\omega_1) & \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Equations (2), (3), and (9) can be written as

\[ v_t = P v_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \]  

(16)

where

\[ P = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

and

\[ \varepsilon_t = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{at} \\ \varepsilon_{et} \\ \varepsilon_{zt} \\ \varepsilon_{rt} \end{bmatrix}'. \]

Rewrite (14) as

\[ f_t^0 = A^{-1} B s_t^0 + A^{-1} C v_t. \]
When substituted into (15), this last result yields

\[(D + FA^{-1}B)E_t s_{t+1}^0 + FA^{-1}CPv_t = (G + HA^{-1}B)s_t^0 + (J + HA^{-1}C)v_t\]

or, more simply,

\[E_t s_{t+1}^0 = Ks_t^0 + Lv_t, \quad (17)\]

where

\[K = (D + FA^{-1}B)^{-1}(G + HA^{-1}B)\]

and

\[L = (D + FA^{-1}B)^{-1}(J + HA^{-1}C - FA^{-1}CP).\]

If the 7 × 7 matrix \(K\) has five eigenvalues inside the unit circle and two eigenvalues outside the unit circle, then the system has a unique solution. If \(K\) has more than two eigenvalues outside the unit circle, then the system has no solution. If \(K\) has less than two eigenvalues outside the unit circle, then the system has multiple solutions. For details, see Blanchard and Kahn (1980).

Assuming from now on that there are exactly two eigenvalues outside the unit circle, write $K$ as

$$K = M^{-1}NM,$$

where

$$N = \begin{bmatrix}
N_1 & 0 \\
0 & N_2
\end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

The diagonal elements of $N$ are the eigenvalues of $K$, with those in the $5 \times 5$ matrix $N_1$ inside the unit circle and those in the $2 \times 2$ matrix $N_2$ outside the unit circle. The columns of $M^{-1}$ are the eigenvectors of $K$; $M_{11}$ is $5 \times 5$, $M_{12}$ is $5 \times 2$, $M_{21}$ is $2 \times 5$, and $M_{22}$ is $2 \times 2$. In addition, let

$$L = \begin{bmatrix}
L_1 \\
L_2
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $L_1$ is $5 \times 4$ and $L_2$ is $2 \times 4$. 
Now (17) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
E_t s_{t+1}^0 =
\begin{bmatrix}
N_1 & 0 \\
0 & N_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
L_1 \\
L_2
\end{bmatrix}
v_t
$$

or

$$
E_t s_{t+1}^1 = N_1 s_{t+1}^1 + Q_1 v_t
$$

and

$$
E_t s_{t+1}^2 = N_2 s_{t+1}^2 + Q_2 v_t,
$$
where

\[ s_{1t}^1 = M_{11} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ \hat{m}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\ \hat{r}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + M_{12} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_t \\ \hat{\pi}_t \end{bmatrix}, \]  

(20)

\[ s_{2t}^1 = M_{21} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ \hat{m}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\ \hat{r}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + M_{22} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_t \\ \hat{\pi}_t \end{bmatrix}, \]  

(21)

\[ Q_1 = M_{11}L_1 + M_{12}L_2, \]

and

\[ Q_2 = M_{21}L_1 + M_{22}L_2. \]
Since the eigenvalues in $N_2$ lie outside the unit circle, (19) can be solved forward to obtain

$$s_{2t}^1 = -N_2^{-1} R v_t,$$

where the $2 \times 4$ matrix $R$ is given by

$$vec(R) = vec \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N_2^{-j} Q_2 P^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} vec(N_2^{-j} Q_2 P^j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [P^j \otimes (N_2^{-1})^j] vec(Q_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [P \otimes N_2^{-1}]^j vec(Q_2)$$

$$= \left[ I_{(8 \times 8)} - P \otimes N_2^{-1} \right]^{-1} vec(Q_2)$$
Use this result, along with (21), to solve for

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{y}_t \\
\hat{\pi}_t
\end{bmatrix} = S_1 \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{y}_{t-1} \\
\hat{m}_{t-1} \\
\hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\
\hat{r}_{t-1} \\
\hat{\mu}_{t-1}
\end{bmatrix} + S_2 v_t,
\]  

(22)

where

\[ S_1 = -M_{22}^{-1} M_{21} \]

and

\[ S_2 = -M_{22}^{-1} N_2^{-1} R. \]
Equation (20) now provides a solution for $s_{1t}^1$:

$$s_{1t}^1 = (M_{11} + M_{12}S_1) \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ \hat{m}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\ \hat{r}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + M_{12}S_2v_t.$$

Substitute this result into (18) to obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_t \\ \hat{m}_t \\ \hat{\pi}_t \\ \hat{r}_t \\ \hat{\mu}_t \end{bmatrix} = S_3 \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ \hat{m}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\ \hat{r}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + S_4v_t, \quad (23)$$

where

$$S_3 = (M_{11} + M_{12}S_1)^{-1}N_1(M_{11} + M_{12}S_1)$$

and

$$S_4 = (M_{11} + M_{12}S_1)^{-1}(Q_1 + N_1M_{12}S_2 - M_{12}S_2P).$$
Finally, return to

\[ f_t^0 = A^{-1}B s_t^0 + A^{-1}C v_t \]

\[ = A^{-1}B \begin{bmatrix} I_{(5\times5)} \\ S_1 \\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\ \hat{r}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} \\ \hat{m}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1} \\ \hat{r}_{t-1} \\ \hat{\mu}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + A^{-1}B \begin{bmatrix} 0_{(5\times4)} \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix} v_t + A^{-1}C v_t, \]

which can be written more simply as

\[ f_t^0 = S_5 \hat{m}_{t-1} + S_6 v_t, \quad (24) \]
where

\[ S_5 = A^{-1} B \begin{bmatrix} I_{(5 \times 5)} \\ S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix} \]

and

\[ S_6 = A^{-1} B \begin{bmatrix} 0_{(5 \times 4)} \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix} + A^{-1} C. \]
Equations (16) and (22)-(24) provide the model's solution:

\[ s_{t+1} = \Pi s_t + W \varepsilon_{t+1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

and

\[ f_t = Us_t, \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

where

\[ s_t = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1} & \hat{m}_{t-1} & \hat{\pi}_{t-1} & \hat{r}_{t-1} & \hat{\mu}_{t-1} & \hat{a}_t & \hat{c}_t & \hat{z}_t & \varepsilon_{Rt} \end{bmatrix}', \]

\[ f_t = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{m}_t & \hat{r}_t & \hat{\mu}_t & \hat{y}_t & \hat{\pi}_t \end{bmatrix}', \]

\[ \varepsilon_t = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{at} & \varepsilon_{ct} & \varepsilon_{zt} & \varepsilon_{rt} \end{bmatrix}', \]

\[ \Pi = \begin{bmatrix} S_3 & S_4 \\ 0_{(4 \times 5)} & P \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ W = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{(5 \times 4)} \\ I_{(4 \times 4)} \end{bmatrix}, \]

and

\[ U = \begin{bmatrix} S_5 & S_6 \\ S_1 & S_2 \end{bmatrix}. \]